

# ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

Churchill Building 10019 103 Avenue Edmonton AB T5J 0G9 Phone: (780) 496-5026

#### NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 199/11

CVG 1200-10665 JASPER AVENUE EDMONTON, AB T5J 3S9 The City of Edmonton Assessment and Taxation Branch 600 Chancery Hall 3 Sir Winston Churchill Square Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held on September 6, 2011, respecting a complaint for:

Roll	Municipal	Legal	Assessed Value	Assessment	Assessment
Number	Address	Description		Type	Notice for:
2194504	14520 118 Avenue NW	Plan: 5951KS Block: 2 Lot: 21	\$1,331,500	Annual New	2011

#### **Before:**

John Noonan, Presiding Officer Judy Shewchuk, Board Member Ron Funnell, Board Member

**Board Officer**: Jason Morris

**Persons Appearing on behalf of Complainant:** 

Peter Smith, CVG

Persons Appearing on behalf of Respondent:

Suzanne Magdiak, Assessor, City of Edmonton

## **BACKGROUND**

The subject property is a 12,749 sq.ft. multi-tenant office/warehouse with 8,350 sq.ft. of warehouse, 3,800 sq.ft. of main floor office and 600 sq.ft. of mezzanine office area. The lot size is 28,417 sq.ft. or .66 acre; site coverage is 43%. The improvement was constructed in 1965 and is described as being in fair condition. The assessment equates to \$104.44 per sq.ft. and was prepared by the direct sales comparison approach.

#### ISSUE(S)

The complaint form identified a number of issues: that the assessment was greater than the July 1, 2010 market value and inequitable in relation to assessments of similar properties; the capitalized value of the actual net operating income is less than the assessment; the property details and description do not correctly reflect actual physical characteristics; an analysis of ASRs (assessment to sales ratios) of similar properties supported a lower assessment value.

At the hearing, evidence and argument from the Complainant addressed a single issue:

## 1. Do the Complainant's sales comparables justify a lower assessment?

In addition to market sales evidence, the Respondent also addressed assessment equity.

## **LEGISLATION**

#### Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26

s 467(1) An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.

s 467(3) An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.

#### POSITION OF THE COMPLAINANT

The Complainant requested the assessment be reduced to \$1,084,000. The request was based on an analysis and comparison of 9 sales that concluded an appropriate per sq.ft. value for the subject of \$85 as opposed to the assessed value of \$104.44.

The 9 sales occurred between February 2008 and December 2010, were time-adjusted by the same factors employed by the Respondent, and showed per sq. ft. values ranging from \$70.08 to \$95.39 with 5 below \$80, and another 2 slightly above the requested \$85. Six of the sales were highlighted, but 3 in particular were identified as the best comparables in establishing the subject's value.

1. 16707 113 Avenue – a 12,997 sq.ft. building built in 1976 that sold in August 2008 for a time-adjusted price of \$87.45 per sq.ft.

- 2. 14215 120 Avenue an October 2008 sale of a 15,482 sq.ft. building built in 1980 sold for \$70.08 per sq.ft.
- 3. 14705 116 Avenue a 15,837 sq.ft. building built in 1970 sold for \$78.36 in January 2010.

## **POSITION OF THE RESPONDENT**

In defense of the assessment, five west end sales comparables in fair to average condition and of similar age were presented. Building areas ranged from 11,172 sq.ft. to 39,645 sq.ft.; lot sizes from 27,557 sq.ft. to 114,962 sq.ft.; and site coverages from 34-56%. Time-adjusted sales prices ranged from \$98-\$137 per sq.ft.

Eleven equity comparables drawn from the west end with high site coverage and of similar age showed per sq.ft. assessments ranging from \$101 to \$111.

## **DECISION**

The CARB reduces the assessment to \$1,084,000.

## **REASONS FOR THE DECISION**

The subject was built in 1965 and is described as being in fair condition. The CARB found that the 3 sales most noted by the Complainant, as well as the corrected information on one of the Respondent's sales, gave a value range from \$70.08 to \$88.22 per sq.ft. All these properties but one were of 1963 – 1976 vintage, were of similar size, and were located on lots less than 1 acre. The Complainant's requested assessment of \$85 per sq.ft. is well supported by the market evidence and accordingly the CARB reduces the assessment to \$1,084,000.

Dated this 26 <sup>th</sup>	day of September, 2011, a	t the City of Edmon	ton, in the Province	of Alberta.
John Noonan,	Presiding Officer			

This decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, RSA 2000, c M-26.

cc: RIVER BEND HOLDINGS LTD